



Long-Term Preservation of Electronic Theses and Dissertations: A Case Study in Preservation Planning

Christoph Becker Vienna University of Technology Pereslavl, October 2007

Digital Preservation

Everything is digital

Digital objects have a short life span

- Hardware stops working
- Decay of media
- Format obsolescence
- Loss of metadata
- Digital preservation: Long-term storage and access to digital objects of all kinds
- Dominant strategies:
 - Migration
 - Emulation





Preservation Planning

- □ For electronic documents, a variety of solutions exist
- □ All have specific strengths and weaknesses
- Individual requirements, obligations and constraints in every institution
- Decision between tools is complex
- Documentation and accountability is essential in decisionmaking
- Preservation Planning assists in decision making
- Evaluating preservation strategies on representative samples according to specific requirements and criteria





Preservation of electronic publications

Austrian National Library will collect and preserve Austrian theses and dissertations digitally

- Legal obligation
- Little control over submission (PDF)

PLANETS: Case study evaluating different solutions

□ Goals

- Validating preservation planning methodology
- Evaluate possible target formats
- Document reasons
- □ Agenda:
 - Preservation Planning Methodology (tool support)
 - Case study results





Preservation Planning Workflow



Phase 1: Define requirements

- 1. Define basis
 - Describe Collection
 - Institutional settings
- 2. Choose sample objects/records
 - Representative for the objects in the collection
 - Right choice of samples is essential
- 3. Define requirements







Defining requirements

- Identify requirements and goals
 - Influence factors
 - Input from different stakeholders
 - Workshop setting
- Tree structure called 'objective tree'
 - Utility analysis
- Top-down or bottom-up
 - Start from high-level goals and break down to specific criteria
 - Start from low-level criteria and organize in tree structure





Requirements include...

- Object characteristics
 - Content
 - Structure
 - Appearance
 - Behaviour
 - Context
- Technical characteristics
- Process characteristics
- Costs



Appearance



Assign Measurable Units

□ Leaf criteria should be objectively measurable

- Seconds per object
- Euro per object
- Bits of colour depth
- □ Subjective scales where necessary
 - Adoption of file format
 - Amount of (expected) support
- > Quantitative results





Phase 2: Evaluate Alternatives

- 4. Define Alternatives
- 5. Go/No-Go decision
- 6. Develop experiment
- 7. Run experiment
- 8. Evaluate experiment







Evaluating results

lanets Pre	servation Planning T 区 🗌	TP TP: Schwarzes Loch im digita	len Gedäc 💽				
	PLANETS Prese	ervation Planni	ng Tool (Plato)		[logout] [Export to XML] [help	5
Project	Define Requirements	Evaluate Requirements	III Consider I	Results	Proj	ject 'PP4 workshop - The National Archive' is in state EXPERIMENT_PERFORMED	
Evaluate	Experiment						
Expand All Website	Collapse All • Record characteristic:	5	deactivate	> mailto:			
-			Alternative	first se	cond		
Focus	Node		solutionA	Yes 💌 🛛 No) 💌		
0	Record characteristics		solutionB	Yes 💌 Ye	es 💌		
÷ ÷	Content		preserve >	menus			
×	▶ Structure		Alternative	first	second		
×	▼Behaviour		solutionA	complete	complete	▼	
×	deactivate		solutionB	navigable 🛽	missina	▼	
×	▶ preserve			nangabio -	moonig		
×	▶ freeze		preserve >	pop-ups			
X	Context		Alternative	first se	cond		
			solutionA	Yes 🚩 Ye	es 🚩		
			solutionB	No 🚩 Ye	is 🚩		
			freeze > cu	irrent date,	/time		
			Alternative	first	second		
			solutionA	frozen 💌	frozen 💌		
			solutionB	missing ⊻	frozen 💌		
			freeze > vi	sitor count	er		
			Alternative	first	second		
			solutionA	missing 💌	frozen 💌		
			solutionB	current 💌	current 💌		

Phase 3: Consider Results









- Measures come in seconds, euro, bits, goodness values,...
- Need to make them comparable
- Transform measured values to uniform scale
- Transformation tables for each leaf criterion
- Scale 0-5 (0 is *unacceptable*)







- Branches are weighted equally by default
- Not all leaf criteria are equally important
- Adjust relative importance of all siblings in a branch
- Weights are propagated down the tree to the leaves





Analyse Results



- Aggregate values
 - Weighted sum and weighted multiplication over all branches of the tree
 - Performance values for each alternative
- Rank alternatives according to overall performance value at root
- Performance of each alternative
 - overall
 - for each sub-criterion (branch)
- Comparison of different alternatives





Case study: Some requirements







Results

Alternative	Total Score Weighted Sum	Total Score Weighted Multiplication
PDF/A (Adobe Acrobat 7 prof.)	4.52	4.31
PDF (unchanged)	4.53	0.00
TIFF (ConvertDoc 4.1)	4.26	3.93
EPS (Adobe Acrobat 7 prof.)	4.22	3.99
JPEG 2000 (Adobe Acrobat 7 prof.)	4.17	3.77
RTF (Adobe Acrobat 7 prof.)	3.43	0.00
RTF (ConvertDoc 4.1)	3.38	0.00
TXT (Adobe Acrobat 7 prof.)	3.28	0.00

Deactivation of scripting and security is a knock-out criterion (PDF)
Image formats do not provide full-text search

•RTF tools show major weaknesses in appearance and structure
•Plain text fails appearance, structure and content requirements





	TIFF
	EPS
	JPEG2000
	RTF-acrobat
	RTF-convertdoc
	TXT
Show	

Expand All | Collapse All ONB Master thesis > **Object characteristic**

Focus	Name	Result
	▼Object characteristic	PDF-A: 1,66 PDF-unchanged: 0,00 TIFF: 1,62 EPS: 1,62 JPEG2000: 1,60 RTF-acrobat: 0,00 RTF-convertdoc: 1,36 TXT: 0,00
×	▶ Appearance	PDF-A: 1,50 PDF-unchanged: 1,50 TIFF: 1,50 EPS: 1,50 JPEG2000: 1,50 RTF-acrobat: 1,26 RTF-convertdoc: 1,19 TXT: 0,00
×	▶ Structure	PDF-A: 1,27 PDF-unchanged: 1,27 TIFF: 1,27 EPS: 1,26 JPEG2000: 1,26 RTF-acrobat: 0,00 RTF-convertdoc: 1,18 TXT: 0,00
×	▶ Content	PDF-A: 1,84 PDF-unchanged: 1,84 TIFF: 1,84 EPS: 1,84 JPEG2000: 1,84 RTF-acrobat: 0,00 RTF-convertdoc: 1,43 TXT: 0,00
×	▶ Behaviour	PDF-A: 1,21 PDF-unchanged: 0,00 TIFF: 1,13 EPS: 1,14 JPEG2000: 1,09 RTF-acrobat: 1,18 RTF-convertdoc: 1,19 TXT: 1,19

(Version 0.5) Institute of Software Technology and Interactive Systems: «office bears»

B PLANETS Pr	eservation Planning Tool - M	ozilla Firefox			
<u>D</u> atei <u>B</u> earbeite	n <u>A</u> nsicht <u>C</u> hronik <u>L</u> esezeiche	en E <u>x</u> tras <u>H</u> ilfe			0
🦛 • 🗼 •	C 📀 🏠 😽 http://loca	alhost:8080/plato/workflow/analysere:	sults.seam	🔻 🕨 🔣 Wikipedia (de)	🧠 👰 -
	PLANETS Prese	rvation Planning ⁻	Tool <i>(Plato)</i>	[logout	[Export to XML] [help]
Project	Define Requirements	Evaluate Requirements 👘	Consider Results	Project 'Minimalist test project covering all features	' is in state WEIGHTS_SET
Analyse	Results				
Multiplica	ation 💌				
✓	PDF/A (Tool A)				
✓	PDF/A (Tool B)				
Show					
Expand A Minimalis	ll Collapse All st root node				
Focus		Name		Result	
	▼Minimalist root node			PDF/A (Tool A): 2,86 PDF/A (Tool B): 0,00	
×	▶ Image properties			PDF/A (Tool A): 1,28 PDF/A (Tool B): 1,32	
×	▼Karma			PDF/A (Tool A): 1,15 PDF/A (Tool B): 0,00	
×	▼Filesize (in Relation to	o Original)		PDF/A (Tool A): 1,31 PDF/A (Tool B): 1,38	
×	▼A Single-Leaf			PDF/A (Tool A): 1,15 PDF/A (Tool B): 1,32	
×	▼IntRange 0-10			PDF/A (Tool A): 1,28 PDF/A (Tool B): 1,25	
(Version 0.5)) Institute of Software Tech	nology and Interactive System	ms: «office bears»		Quick Access: 🆀
<	90/plato/workflow/apalycerosylte.cs	#	1111		>
http://iocainosci80	ooypiacoyworki iowyanaiyyseresültsi se	5011#			, v

Tool support

First internal version in December
First public version next year
Integration of Planets services

Technical

- Java Enterprise application
- Planets Application Server based on JBoss 4.0.5
- JBoss Seam 1.2.1
- Java Server Faces, Facelets
 - AJAX-enabled component libraries
 - Apache Trinidad
 - JBoss RichFaces, AJAX4JSF
 - EJB 3 (Hibernate)
 - Database: Apache Derby (exchangeable)
 - XML export and import





The PLANETS project



- Preservation and Long-term Access through
 NETworked Services
- Distributed preservation infrastructure and services
- 4-year project funded under the 6th Framework Programme of the European Union (~15m EUR)
- 16 partners from 9 countries
 - National Libraries
 - National Archives
 - Universities
 - Research and technology companies

www.planets-project.eu





Something different... the DPE Digital Preservation Challenge

digital preservation e^{urope}

Digital Preservation Europe: coordinating EU project
DPE Challenge: Competition with several tasks to solve
Overcome the barriers hindering access to digital objects
Open for all participants

Awards

- 1. First Prize 3000 Euros
- 2. Second Prize 1500 Euros
- 3. Third Prize 500 Euros

Next challenge online in January 2008, submission deadline in March

www.digitalpreservationeurope.eu/challenge





Thank you very much for your attention.

becker@ifs.tuwien.ac.at www.ifs.tuwien.ac.at/dp www.planets-project.eu



