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Abstract

Patent text is a mixture of legal terms and domain specific terms. Patent writers tend to paraphrase standard terminology with hypernym, hyponym and synonym substitutions in order to avoid narrowing the scope of the patent invention. The practice of paraphrasing affects the exact match retrieval function negatively. There have been many success stories addressing vocabulary mismatching using pseudo-relevance feedback and distributional semantics. However, in the patent text genre these techniques have not yielded the same level of performance as in other text genres. In this paper we propose a combination of automatic query expansion methods to identify strong domain specific lexical-semantic relations. With our method we avoid a decrease in performance and we report an improvement in recall-oriented evaluation measurements for the CLEP-IP 2013 test collection.

1 Introduction

Many different retrieval approaches have been deployed during the CLEF-IP task challenges, but there has not been a real visible breakthrough until recently (Andersson et al., 2016; Tannebaum and Rauber, 2015; Mahdabi, 2014; Dhondt, 2014). The lack of breakthroughs for patent text mining applications compared to other text genres is due to a three-folded complexity:

- The complexity of the languages, especially word formation, increases vocabulary mismatch (Dhondt, 2014; Ferraro, 2012)
- The complexity of the text genre, i.e. the frequent re-use of common words in different multi word terms (MWT) (Oostdijk et al., 2010; Temnikova et al., 2013).

The search strategy in patent search consists of many complex queries targeting main topic and sub topics (i.e. different aspects) of an invention. The search outcome depends on searchers’ ability to balance recall and precision in the search sessions (Hansen, 2011). In a search session, bibliographic data is combined with phrases and words in several iterations in order to narrow the scope of the search (Tannebaum and Rauber, 2015; Jürgens et al., 2014). Each aspect of an invention can be divided into pairs of terms consisting of a general term and a specific term. If an invention has three aspects A, B and C each of these three aspect term pairs needs to be combined in the search process (Adams, 2011). The complexity of the patent search task motivates usage of automatic query expansion (AQE) techniques and terminology identification. We propose an AQE method, which incorporate syntagmatic (i.e. MTW relations) and paradigmatic (i.e. lexical-semantic relations e.g. hyponymy relations) information on vocabulary present in the patent text domain, by merging research results from two previous publications (Andersson et al., 2016, 2014). We examine three different filters deployed on an ontology, automatically populated with domain specific lexical-semantic relations. We apply point-wise mutual information (PMI) as a pure syntagmatic filter, distributional semantics as a combined syntagmatic and paradigmatic filter, and the International Patent Classification (IPC) schema as a taxonomy filter. Our main contribution shows
that a combination of paradigmatic and syntagmatic information will better recognize strong domain lexical-semantic relations compared to the IPC taxonomy.

2 Related work

In non-patent genres there have been many success stories regarding different retrieval methods, especially AQE techniques such as pseudo relevance feedback (PRF), exploring distributional semantic and external resources (Manning et al., 2008). In the patent text genre the success stories with PRF (Ganguly et al., 2011; Kishida, 2003), using Wikipedia (Al-Shboul and Myaeng, 2014) or random indexing (Lupu, 2014) have not shown the same enhancement, some methods have even decreased under baseline. A plausible explanation for this lack of improvement for PRF could be that the overall poor quality of the top K retrieved document are more non-relevant than relevant documents (Takeuchi et al., 2005; Magdy and Jones, 2011). Another explanation is the composite nature of the text genre (Temnikova et al., 2013), i.e. the majority of domain specific technical concepts are MWT composed of common English words e.g. bus slot card (SanJuan et al., 2005). The patent search terms are a mixture of words and MWTs composed of broad and general concepts (Adams, 2011). For instance, a typical hyponymy relationship in patent texts would be thrips is a hyponym to bulb fly larvae). Consequently, the bag-of-word methods will be limited due to the linguistic composite of the text genre. Knowledge based (KB) AQE methods would fit the linguistic composite of the text genre better, since they address paradigmatic relations composed of explicit lexical-semantic relations of different term lengths (Mandala et al., 2000). However, KB AQE methods have not shown the same robust enhancement for document retrieval (Voorhees, 1994), in comparison to automatically constructed thesauris using syntagmatic relations (Schutze and Pedersen, 1997).

In the patent retrieval literature it has been reported that AQE KB methods, which incorporate citation graphs, classifications (e.g. IPC) and search reports increase the performance in comparison to standard PRF (Mahdabi et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2013; Tannebaum and Rauber, 2015). However, due to the tendency to avoid using standard terminology and the presence of neologism, the KB methods are limited in time and technical coverage (Nanba et al., 2009). Distributional semantic methods, which combine syntagmatic and paradigmatic information, have been successfully deployed in identification of medical concepts (Symonds et al., 2012). In (Chen et al., 2003) a patent document retrieval system incorporating syntagmatic and paradigmatic information was presented. However, due to computational complexity and the extensive pre-processing steps only the abstract was used for query formulation (QF) and they deployed a pre-defined list of MWTs, which limits the flexibility of their system.

3 Method

We experimented on the CLEF-IP 2013 test collection, which contains approximately 2.6M XML documents (representing 1.5 million patents). For the QF method and the retrieval architecture we reused the solution presented in (Andersson et al., 2016). They compared several different features for QF such as phrases, words, bigrams, and MWTs on the English topics set (50) of the CLEF-IP 2013 passage retrieval task. Their best method NLP included a domain adapted NLP pipeline with additional machine learning for terminology extraction. In this experiment we examine if we can improve on the method NLP by adding lexical-semantic relations for AQE. The AQE is deployed on phrases since the majority of the automatically extracted hypernyms are composed of MWTs, or at least one entity of the hyponymy relation is a MWT e.g. rape pollen beetles and thrips. We re-used the seed ontology, presented in (Andersson et al., 2014), which was established by using lexico-syntactic patterns (Hearst, 1992). In the ontology, phrases such as mechanical stress, remote communication, network lan were extracted as candidate hyponyms for the term communication link. However, remote communication and mechanical stress have weaker termhood levels in comparison to network lan and communication link. Furthermore, only network lan and communication link have a hyponymy relation. In order to remove weaker candidates and noisy relations we deployed three filters:

- The taxonomy information filter explores explicit semantic categories and hierarchical structure of (IPC). Only terms belonging to the same sub technical field (i.e. IPC sub class) were to be used as expansion terms for a patent topic.
• The PMI filter explores the pure syntagmatic strength. The PMI was computed based upon document co-occurrence, Eq. 1, (Manning et al., 2008). For expanding over bigrams we used joint probability of all $P(w_1...w_n)$ over individual probabilities of all $P(w)_n$.

• The distributional semantic filter (SEM) reflects a filter composed of both paradigmatic and syntagmatic information. We computed the cosine similarity of word2vec representation for each term pair.

The word2vec model was trained on patent data and 300 dimensions were used, for further information see (Rekabsaz et al., 2017). However, word2vec methods are limited in their re-usability in the patent text domain, since they are modelled on unigrams or a fixed length of n-grams. In order to expand the existing cosine computation to include computation between arbitrary length of two MWTs, we sum the similarity values of each combination and in order to avoid bias towards longer MWTs we divided the sum by the number of token see Eq. 2. By summing up the cosine similarity of each member of the two MWTs we can be flexible regarding the number belonging to each set and thereby cover instances such as rape pollen beetles and thrips. For SEM and PMI, we decided to expand with a fixed set of terms (5, 10, 15) for each query since there were no clear cut threshold for either methods.

$$PMI = \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

- $P(x,y)$ represents the probability of a given event. We defined a skip-gram constraint i.e. the words should co-occur within the range of three words additionally to the length of a given phrase sequence.

- $P(x)P(y)$ represents the number of occurrence for each word independently of each other in the collection.

$$Jointed_similary = \sum \frac{cos(w_i, w_n)cos(w_{i+1}, w_n)}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

- $cos(w_i, w_n)$ represents each word vector pair cosine similarity of a given event; $n$, the length of a given event defined by its number of members.

- $N$ is the number of words for a given event.

Table 1: AQE methods, state-of-the art (Andersson et al., 2016) (NLP) and best run in CLEF-IP 2013 (Georgetown) (Luo and Yang, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>PRES</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>MAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NLP AQE SEM5</td>
<td>0.558†</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLP AQE PM15</td>
<td>0.547†</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLP</td>
<td>0.544†</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.285†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLP AQE IPC†</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown†</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AQE IPC method under performs in comparison to the pure NLP method (a decrease in all metrics). When applying either PMI or SEM filter, using 5 expansion terms we have slight increase in PRES (Magdy and Jones, 2010) and recall. However, the improvement is not statistically significant between SEM and PMI and the pure NLP method. For each metric, we performed an ANOVA to test the omnibus null hypothesis that all the runs are equal. This was rejected for MAP and PRES with ($p<0.05$), meaning that at least two runs are significantly different. The results indicate for each cell the runs to which it is statistically significantly different. As we can see, while results are visibly different, the relatively low number of topics in this track results in few clear cases of improvement.

5 Conclusion

In order to build a successful patent retrieval system we need to address:

- The Language Complexity in terms of linguistic characteristics. Especially word formation of new words are particular important for the patent text genre. By extracting candidate QE terms from the collection itself, we avoid the coverage issue which is the case when using external resources.

- The Domain Complexity in terms of diversity between general written text and the target text domain of a particular language. By recognizing the importance of MWTs in the patent text genre and adopting existing methods to handle MWTs we introduce a flexible AQE method.

- The Task Complexity needs to reflect the complexity of the target domain and the target language, as well as the information seeking process. Patent queries need to reflect different aspects of a patent invention. In this paper we explore AQE methods addressing both syntagmatic and paradigmatic information.
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